

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/769,091		01/24/2001	John Hsuan	13078.16US01	6403	
23552	7590	11/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MERCHA		OULD PC	VIG, NARESH			
P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			. •	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3629		
				DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/769,091	HSUAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Naresh Vig	3629
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	January 2001.	
	nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The decision (a) State 1		<u>.</u>
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	*	, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National Stage
Attachment(c)		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Intonious Summer	20/ (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	al Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1 15 and 26 31, drawn to system and method for founding establishment through internet by providing electronic hub system capable for communicating with resource provider, saving record in a database (uncertified), examining official documents related to resource provider, with no requirement for registration of hub systems, classified in class 705, subclass 1.
- II. Claims 16 20 and 33 39, drawn to system and method for founding establishment through internet by providing an electronic hub system (which may or may not be capable of communicating with a resource provider), registering the electronic hub systems and saving records in certified database, examining bank record related to resource provider classified in class 705, subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does

Art Unit: 3629

not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because invention I drawn to system and method for founding establishment through internet by providing electronic hub system capable for communicating with resource provider, saving record in a database (uncertified), with no requirement for registration of hub systems, whereas, invention II is drawn to system and method for founding establishment through internet by providing an electronic hub system (which may or may not be capable of communicating with a resource provider), registering the electronic hub systems and saving records in certified database. The subcombination has separate utility such as certified database, registration required for hub system.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Claims 7 limits claim 1, wherein said resource provider is a capital provider.

Claims 8 limits claim 1, wherein said resource provider is a provider of administrative idea.

Art Unit: 3629

Claims 9 limits claim 1, wherein said resource provider is a technology group.

Claims 10 limits claim 1, wherein said resource provider is a management group.

Claims 12 limits claim 1, wherein said record is a resource provider's class.

Claims 13 limits claim 1, wherein said record is a resource provider's scope.

Claims 21 limits claim 16, wherein said resource provider is a capital collector.

Claims 22 limits claim 16, wherein said resource provider is a office building

owner.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Art Unit: 3629

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 3629

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Naresh Vig whose telephone number is 703.305.3372. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 - 5:00 (Alt Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 703.308.2702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Naresh Vig

Patent Examiner

Haresh Via

November 5, 2004